Signal Intelligence

Why the next ten years won't belong to the fastest — but to the best informed

A manifesto on artificial intelligence, capital, and the largest shift of our time.

Kurt Traxl · Founder, NORTH7 · June 2026

Foreword: The Few and the Many

Most people who read this will think it overstated. In five years they will think it understated.

That is not a rhetorical trick. It is the most reliable property of exponential change: while it is quiet, any honest description of it sounds exaggerated. By the time it is loud, it is too late to be among the first.

There is, right now, a small number of people — inside the research labs, inside a few funds, inside a handful of companies — who have understood what is happening. Not because they are smarter than everyone else, but because they have grasped one single thing: the world no longer moves at the speed our brains were built to process it. Everyone else will wake up once the change is already history.

This manifesto has exactly one thesis, and it will not let go of it for the next several pages:

The scarcest resource of the coming decade is not capital, not energy, not compute — it is orientation. The ability to pull, from an unmanageable flood of data, the few signals that matter, and to act early enough. Those who have it will win. Those who don't will be well informed, and too late.

Everything that follows is the argument for it.

I — The Lines All Point Up

You don't need to see the future in the years ahead. You only need to be able to extend a line.

Take the capability of AI systems. A few years ago they could barely finish a sentence. Today they pass exams, write code, analyze balance sheets, draw conclusions from a thousand sources. That curve did not rise linearly — it rose exponentially, and it has not yet flattened.

Take the cost. The same AI capability that cost a fortune two years ago now costs a fraction of it — the curve has fallen by more than an order of magnitude, and it keeps falling. When something

becomes more capable *and* dramatically cheaper at the same time, broad adoption is not a question of if, but of when.

Take the volume of data the world produces every day. Over a decade it did not grow — it multiplied, again and again, and that curve too is getting steeper, not flatter.

Three lines. All pointing up. All at once. A technology that gets better, gets cheaper, and meets an exploding supply of raw material it can process. Extend those three lines into the future, and you do not see gradual improvement. You see a shift in the foundations.

Most people don't extend these lines. They look at today's state and assume tomorrow will be a little better. That is the most expensive thinking error of our time.

II — The Problem Isn't Information. It's Orientation.

People assume that more data automatically leads to better decisions — yet the exact opposite is true.

Every day, billions of new data points come into existence: news in hundreds of languages, sensor feeds, satellite imagery, earnings, preprints, port movements, commodity prices, government documents — an endless stream. No human, no newsroom, no analyst team on earth can still read this volume — let alone weigh or assess it.

That breaks the old logic. For decades, information was scarce and expensive; whoever had it had an edge. That era is over. Information today is abundant and effectively free. What has become scarce is the ability to find the signal in the noise.

Those who fail in the coming years almost never fail for lack of information. The decisive fact was usually there — in a footnote, a supply-chain report, an unremarkable dataset. They simply couldn't tell it apart from the ten thousand irrelevant ones beside it.

This is exactly the gap AI moves into — not as a friendly helper, but as the only thing that can still sort at this scale.

III — Why (Almost) Everyone Will Sleep Through It

Humans think linearly. The world develops exponentially. Nearly every great misjudgment of our time flows from that single gap.

We extrapolate tomorrow from yesterday, because evolution made that work almost every time. In a world where things double instead of add, it is a catastrophe. Underestimate the doubling and you are not slightly wrong — you end up wrong by orders of magnitude.

On top of that comes a second mechanism. People react to headlines, markets to expectations. But the future does not announce itself in headlines. It announces itself in weak signals, long before they grow loud.

You can reconstruct this almost every time in hindsight. For years before 2008, there were warnings about the repackaging of bad debt — dismissed as doom-mongering. The first reports of a novel

virus sat in scientific journals weeks before the lockdowns. Europe's energy dependence was legible to anyone willing to read the import statistics. And that AI would reshape knowledge work was foreseeable years in advance for anyone paying attention.

The signals were never the problem. They were not missed because they were invisible — but because they were inconvenient, and because no one had the patience to separate the quiet signal from the loud noise.

The majority will do exactly this in the coming decade too. Not out of stupidity. Out of habit. And that is precisely where the edge lies for the few.

IV — AI Is Not Software. It's Infrastructure.

Most companies treat AI like a tool: another item in the software landscape you buy, try, and shelve again if in doubt. That is the decisive category error.

The right comparison is not "better software." The right comparison is electricity. The internet. Mobile networks. None of those was a tool. They were base layers on which entire industries were then rebuilt. No one today asks whether a company "uses" electricity — it is the precondition for existing at all.

That is where AI is heading: not as one application among others, but as the layer beneath all the others. So the question is no longer *whether* a company uses AI. The honest question is how deeply — and whether early enough that the gap to the competition doesn't become uncatchable.

Because that is the second uncomfortable truth about infrastructure transitions: they redeal the cards. Whoever slept through the electricity transition, the internet transition, did not become slower — they disappeared. There is no reason to assume this transition will be gentler.

V — The Physical World Strikes Back

For all the enthusiasm about the digital, it is easy to forget what the world economy actually rests on: physical things. Copper for every electrification. Lithium for every battery. Rare earths for every high-performance magnet. Oil, gas, water — and yes, coffee and cocoa, whose price spikes shake entire regions.

Whoever controls these raw materials controls the industrial future. And whoever truly understands the supply chains behind them understands the world economy better than anyone staring only at share prices.

This is not a platitude, it is mechanics. A mine on strike in Chile; a port that has to be bypassed in the Red Sea; a harvest that fails in West Africa — each of these travels, with a delay, through the balance sheets of companies that at first glance have nothing to do with the raw material. Spot the event at its source, and you see the earnings before they are written.

This is exactly where AI turns from gimmick into strategic instrument: it is the only technology that can watch these chains in real time, across languages and sources, simultaneously. The next

generation of successful companies will not just analyze their customers. They will analyze the entire value chain — before it makes the news.

VI — Geopolitics Is Now a Market Indicator

The clean separation between politics and economics, still printed in textbooks, no longer exists in practice.

Every conflict moves markets. Every sanction reshuffles supply chains. Every election redirects capital flows. Every military escalation shows up in energy prices within hours. A geopolitical briefing is no longer a luxury for the board — it belongs in the same risk picture as liquidity planning.

The most successful actors of the coming years will take geopolitical developments as seriously as their own balance sheet. Not out of interest in world politics. Out of plain self-interest. Whoever prices in an escalation three weeks before the market doesn't need a crystal ball — they need a system that reads the weak signals while everyone else is still waiting for the headline.

VII — Defense: Information Beats Firepower

In almost no field is the shift as brutally visible as in the military — and nowhere is it a clearer model for everything else.

The barrier to entry for modern warfare has collapsed. A drone costing a few hundred euros can now do damage that once required investments in the millions — and the technology behind it grows more autonomous and more capable month by month.

The decisive resource here is no longer firepower. It is information. Whoever spots, locates, and classifies a target earlier wins; whoever spots it too late loses — often before they could even react. Reconnaissance was always important. What's new is that it now dominates everything else.

And what holds on the battlefield holds in the market: whoever sees it first wins. Whoever sees it last finances everyone else's gains.

VIII — The Real Revolution Is the Decision

The biggest change AI brings will not, in the end, be automation, as fixated as the headlines are on it. The biggest change is in *how decisions get made*.

Today, companies ask in hindsight: what happened? Going forward, the question shifts to the front: what is likely to happen — and what should we therefore do now? Decisions become more data-driven, faster, and above all more testable, because forecasts can be measured against reality after the fact.

Gut feeling does not vanish entirely; good intuition stays valuable. But its weight in the process shrinks, and the weight of systematic signal analysis grows relentlessly. Whoever inverts that and

keeps betting primarily on instinct will lose against counterparts who never tire, never panic, never get greedy — and never stop reading.

IX — The NORTH7 Thesis

From this, everything follows. The scarcest — and therefore most valuable — resource of the 21st century is not capital, not energy, not compute, and not software either. Of all of those there is, globally, rather too much than too little.

The decisive resource is orientation: pulling, from billions of data points, the few that matter, and recognizing them early enough to act.

That is exactly why NORTH7 exists. Not as another news tool, but as an intelligence layer over reality: a system that reads news, commodities, supply chains, and geopolitical movements across languages and sources at once, weighs them, and translates them into actionable signals. What Bloomberg was for financial data, Signal Intelligence becomes for the entire connected reality.

Whoever builds this capability will win. Whoever lacks it goes under in the noise — not because they were stupid, but because they were well informed, and too late.

Nine Hard Theses

A thesis without a date is an opinion. Here are nine you can hold us to.

1. By 2035, companies without a working intelligence system will be as disadvantaged as companies without an internet connection at the turn of the millennium. It won't be forbidden to operate without one — just expensive and slow.

2. By 2030, nearly every knowledge-based task will run AI-assisted. Whoever ignores this carries structurally higher costs than the competition, and the market punishes inefficiency with the reliability of a law of nature.

3. Many classic office roles will need only a fraction of today's headcount by 2035 — not because people become useless, but because one person with good tools does the work of three.

4. The most productive companies in the world won't be the ones with the largest HR departments, but the ones with the best decision systems. Size becomes a liability when it only means inertia.

5. Competitive advantage will not come from more data, but from better interpretation. Data becomes a commodity. Good analysis becomes a luxury good.

6. Financial markets will be increasingly analyzed and moved by machines. Whoever bets solely on emotion, gut, and media reports loses, over time, to systems that read the weak signal while he is still waiting for the headline.

7. Over the next ten years, geopolitical events will have more influence on company valuations than some classic balance-sheet metrics. It feels wrong — and will be true anyway.

8. Most companies underestimate the pace of this development. Exactly as they underestimated the pace of the internet.

9. Entire societies will have to rethink their models for income, education, and work. When productivity rises exponentially, linear work models come under pressure — and debates over basic income and new ownership models move from the fringe to the center.

Three Forecasts

2027. AI agents take over a noticeable share of administrative office work. The first companies rebuild their organization not around people and software, but around the collaboration of both. The majority still calls it hype.

2030. AI is as self-evident in most knowledge professions as the spreadsheet is today. A company without a serious AI strategy is regarded as what, twenty years ago, a company without a website was. No one says "hype" anymore.

2035. Intelligence systems are standard equipment, like email, ERP, and an internet connection. A portion of today's market leaders has disappeared, and in their place stand names almost no one knows today. Not because the newcomers were bigger — but because they saw what was coming earlier.

Closing: The Future Belongs to the Best Informed

The coming decade will not be won by the companies that work the hardest, nor by those with the most employees or the largest offices. It belongs to those who recognize signals earlier than their competition — and act early enough to turn that into a lead.

The tools already exist. The data already exists. What makes the difference is the decision to look while the signal is still quiet.

The majority will not make that decision. They will wake up once it's all over, and call it "surprising."

It isn't surprising. It's just early.

The question is not whether this future arrives. The question is whether you are among the few who see it coming — or among the many who sleep through it.

NORTH7. Signal Intelligence.

Kurt Traxl is the founder of NORTH7 — a Signal Intelligence system that reads news, commodities, supply chains, and geopolitical movements across languages and sources at once, and translates them into actionable signals.